

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/430,282 10/29/1999		FRĖDERICK J. COOPER	INTL-0258-US	7039	
75	90 09/04/2002			•	
TIMOTHY N TROP			EXAMINER		
TROP PRUNER HU & MILES PC 8554 KATY FREEWAY			TRAN, PHUOC		
SUITE 100 HOUSTON, TX 77024			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ			2621 DATE MAILED: 09/04/2002	Ч.	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	, ,	Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/430,2	82	COOPER ET AL.		
		Office Action Summary	Examine		Art Unit	
			Phuoc Ti	an	2621	
Doric		The MAILING DATE of this communicated Reply	ation appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess
A T -	SHC HE M Extens after S If the p If NO p Failure Any re earned	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE AILING T	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no exication. days, a reply within the statory period will apply and w I, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tim tutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from blication to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Statu		Danasaiva ta assessination(a) filoso	1			
)	Responsive to communication(s) filed		fil		
))⊠ This action is			
)□ ositic	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice on of Claims				nerits is
•	_	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the ap	polication.			
		a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	•	ensideration.		
5		Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
		Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.				
		Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
	-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction Papers	on and/or election i	equirement.		
	_	he specification is objected to by the B	Examiner			
		he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		objected to by the Exar	miner	
	,	Applicant may not request that any object		•		
11) T	he proposed drawing correction filed of			• •	
		If approved, corrected drawings are requ			•	
12) T	he oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner.			
Prior	ity uı	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) 🗆 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	a)[] All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
	•	. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have bee	en received.		
	2	2. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have bee	en received in Application	on No	
		B. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internate the attached detailed Office action	ional Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).		age
14)		knowledgment is made of a claim for		•		oplication).
	_a)	The translation of the foreign langu	uage provisional a	oplication has been rec	eived.	,,
Attach			comodio priority t		GIGIOTIZI.	
1) 🛭	Notice Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape)-948) / er No(s) <u>3</u> .		r (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-1	
	47				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Page 2



Application/Control Number: 09/430,282

Art Unit: 2621

1. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 19 recites the limitation "the lights" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-3, 10-12, 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ye et al [U. S. Patent No. 6,384,852].





Application/Control Number: 09/430,282

Art Unit: 2621

As to claim 1, Yet et al disclose a method of controlling a processor based system comprising: receiving video information from a camera (col. 2, lines 41-44); analyzing said information (col. 2, lines 44-56); and controlling the power state of the system based on said video information (col. 2, lines 56-67).

As to claims 2-3, note column 2, lines 56-67.

Claims 10-12, 28-30 recite similar features of claims 1-3. Therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).



Application/Control Number: 09/430,282

Art Unit: 2621

5. Claims 4-9, 13-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ye et al in view of Choi [U. S. Patent No. 5,986,695].

As to claim 4-6, Ye et al disclose all claim subject matter (mentioned above) except for using luminance value to detect a scene change. Both Choi and Christian et al teach that it is known to determine a luminance value from a video image and detect a motion in the video image using the luminance value (see Choi, col. 4, lines 33-38; col. 6, lines 1-7; Christian et al, col. 7, line 30- col. 8, line 67). Since both Choi and Christian et al teach an accurate way to detect a motion in a video image using a luminance value, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate Choi's or Christian et al's teaching in Ye et al's system in order to accurately detect a motion in a video image.

As to claims 7-9, note Ye et al, column 2, lines teaches 56-67.

Claims 13-27 recite similar features of claims 4-9. Therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons.

6. Claims 20 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Koller et al [Patent No. 6,130,707].

As to claim 20, Koller et al disclose a method controlling a processor based system comprising: receiving video information (col. 4, lines 29-32); analyzing the information to develop luminance information (col. 4, lines 36-48); and controlling the operation of software on the system based on said luminance information (col. 4, lines 41-48; Fig. 2, items 210, 220, 265, 270;

0

9

Application/Control Number: 09/430,282

Art Unit: 2621

i.e. if the luminance is sufficient the operation of software is controlled to perform a set of

functions shown in Fig 2).

Claim 25 recitesimilar features of claim 20. Therefore, they are rejected for the same

reasons.

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Lignoul, Pearce, Skarbo et al, Kippust disclose the state of the art of system in which a

screen saver is activated.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Phuoc Tran whose telephone number is (703) 305-4861. The examiner can

normally be reached on 9:30 AM-6:00 PM from Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Leo H. Boudreau, can be reached on (703) 305-4706.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

Page 5





Application/Control Number: 09/430,282

Art Unit: 2621

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

PHUDGTHAN PRIMARY EXCL.